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What is this all about?

- We need to continually build more software to transfer our intelligence into computers
- We expect software to change in response to business requirements
- Systems are getting more complicated and harder to control
- However, we still build software as we did many years ago... we have not yet industrialized the process
How is building software different than other manufacturing processes?

- Software is the transfer of the brain’s content
- The brain has to be a critical part of the process
What do we need?

- An infrastructure which supports the brain in this process
  - Relieves the brain from repetitive and mundane tasks which can be automated
  - Allows creativity

- Since humans make mistakes, the infrastructure must provide support to correct and eliminate these mistakes
Where does this lead?

- Better software production methods
- Higher productivity
- Better software quality
How is this done?

- **Principles:** Basic rules for structuring and managing software projects through defect prevention
- **Practices:** *Functional* embodiments of the principles
- **Policies:** *Managerial* embodiments of the principles
Principle 1: Establishment of Infrastructure

“Build a strong foundation through integration of people and technology”

- People
- Configurable technologies
- Integration of the people and the technology
Principle 2: Application of General Best Practices

“Learn from others’ mistakes”

- Best practices from industry experts
- Prevent common errors
Principle 3: Customization of Best Practices

“Learn from your own mistakes”

- Each time a defect is discovered, a new customized practice is defined and integrated into the process
- Application should be automated and seamless
- Adherence should be monitored
Principle 4: Measurement and Tracking of Project Status

“Understand the past and present to make decisions about the future”

- Identify problems promptly
- Assess product quality and deployment readiness
- Requires automated reporting system
Principle 5: Automation

“Let the computer do it”

- System complexity makes automation a necessity

- Automation...
  - Improves job satisfaction and productivity
  - Improves product quality
  - Facilitates human communication
  - Helps to implement and verify best practices and organizational standards
  - Facilitates control of the software processes by collecting measurement data

- Group-by-group
  - Pilot group > expansion

- Practice-by-practice
  - Severity levels
  - Cutoff dates
Feedback Loop

1. Identify a defect.
2. Find the root cause of the defect.
3. Locate the point in the production line that caused the defect.
4. Implement preventative practices to ensure that similar defects do not reoccur.
5. Monitor the process to verify effectiveness of the preventive practices.
Infrastructure Supporting Intelligence

Support for auto rebuilds

Support for peer code reviews

Auto verification of policy adherence

Auto verification that functionality did not change

Auto verification of internal structure
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GRS Visibility

Did the code change?

Did it build?

Was it reviewed?

Did it implement requirements?

Does it work?

Did it fix bugs?
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Application to Real Development Projects

Archived Data

- 28,000,000 lines of code
- 120 developers

Process Improvement

- 10x Productivity Improvement

Quality becomes a side effect
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Developer Visibility
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Parasoft Nucleus

- GRS
- Servers
- Languages
- Web Services
- Blueprint Services
Process Control

- Software processes should be measured and tracked throughout the project lifetime should be treated as statistical processes.

- Use data to evaluate process trends; as the project progresses, the trends in its processes should stabilize.

- The goal: a predefined level of stabilization and capability.
  - A stable process is predictable; its variation is under control (for example, when representative variables are plotted on a control chart, they fall between the upper control limit and the lower control limit, which are based on quality controls such as Six Sigma).
  - For a process to be considered capable, it must be stable and the average of its plotted variables must fall within the specification limits, which vary for each process.
Process Control Example - Confidence Factor

- Used to evaluate software quality and help in making deployment decisions

- An aggregate of measures such as feature/requirement implementation index, test pass rate, code check in stability, and others

- CF should stay within small range near the top of the scale
  - Code is not being broken through feature additions, the test cases are succeeding, etc.
  - The application can be released

- The graphed process is stable, but not yet capable
Raising the Confidence Factor

- Study all indicators to determine the weakest points
- Example: If weakest point is violations of coding standards, the code quality should be increased
  - This would increase the CF, but further work might be required
  - Other problems might exist, such as too many code modifications and uncompleted features with their consequent failing tests
- Continue the cycle of identifying and fixing problems until the CF reaches the appropriate level – this is necessary to attain product stability and capability and arrive at an informed release decision